



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,104	12/31/2001	Bertus Karel Edens	029150-116	6139	
7590 03/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Ronald L. Grudziecki, Esquire BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			SHAPIRO, J	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
P.O Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/032,104	EDENS, BERTUS KAREL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>19 December 200</u> 5.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-18 and 22-35 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-18 and 22-35 is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any object					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	A) □ Intociin	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 	TO-948) Paper Not	(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	m. s	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/032,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 12-18, 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hart, Jr. et al (US 6,714,835 B1) in view of Schneiderhan (US 5,067,088). Hart discloses a mail production apparatus setup computer program that causes the setup of said apparatus based on properties stored in a memory file from control inserts and sensed machine operating conditions. Such properties include, for example, feeder settings, document weights or postage meter settings. See col. 4, lines 5-15. See also col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 16.

Hart does not expressly disclose, but Schneiderhan discloses stopping the setup to wait for an operator response. See Schneiderhan figure 14a, elements (504-508). and figure 15, elements (524-526).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have stopped Hart's setup program setup manual operator input, as taught by Schneiderhan.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide the mail production process with the flexibility of a semi-automated setup.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/032,104 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 10, 12-18, 22-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that the various physical properties described in Claims 24-28 and 31-35 are considered to be obvious parameters well-known to be used for mail production apparatus operation. For example, the size of sheets to be printed is considered to be a parameter one ordinarily skilled would have logically recognized as being required for mail production operation.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/032,104 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

(571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford can be reached on (571)272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Shapiro

Examiner

Art Unit 3653

March 5, 2006

GENEO. CRAWFOHD SUPERVISORY PATUNT EXAMINER